DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-9377

February 10, 1989

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 89-16

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
ALL DISTRICT ATTORNEYS
ALL TITLE IV AGENCIES

SUBJECT: RECORDS RETENTION

This All-County Letter is a reminder of the legal requirements for records retention and the identification of certain records which require extended retention periods.

A. Public Assistance Records

The Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) sets forth various retention periods for public assistance records. Generally, the regulations require that all public assistance (23-353), social service (10-119.2), and administrative claiming (25-815.38) records and their supporting documents be retained for three years from the date the state submits the last expenditure report to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Case record material must be retained for three years after the date the last state expenditure report has been made to HHS for the period the records were last used to document eligibility. MPP Sections 23-353 through 23-356 set forth the requirements for certain records which have retention periods which vary from the general rule. While the regulations must be reviewed for a complete listing, the most common occurrences are listed below. Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

Records and their supporting documents must be retained when there is an open federal or state audit. This includes those federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports listed on Attachment I, those unresolved federal audits listed on Attachment II, and the State Controller's Office audits listed on Attachment IV. Counties are to inform contractors providing social services to retain all necessary records for audits which have not been resolved/closed.



- Records involved in pending criminal or civil litigation or court orders may require extended retention periods. In addition to cases in which the county is involved, Attachment V lists court cases involving DSS which require extended retention of certain records.
- 3. The Form ABCD 278L, List of Authorizations to Start, Change, or Stop Aid Payments (or its equivalent), which bears the original initials or the original signature of the delegated county employee who authorized the specific action is identified as one of the records and supporting documents which must be retained in accordance with the retention period for the case record material.
- 4. The county shall retain Form ABCD 278L or its equivalent for a period of 10 years following closure in all cases where notification to do so by the child support agency has been received.
- 5. County welfare warrants must be retained for five years. Warrant registers must be retained for 15 years.

Other records may be considered as nonessential and need not be retained in the case records. Listed below are examples of those documents which may be purged from the case records:

- Documents or evidence (photocopies) provided by the recipient such as birth certificates and divorce papers may be purged (MPP Section 48-001.112) provided that there is a written record of the type of evidence and its pertinent content. This notation would normally be made in the case narrative. Original documents received should have been returned to the applicant/recipient.
- 2. Documents which were never used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided they have no potential of being used to take action on a case. For example, a note from an applicant canceling a meeting may be needed as evidence, should you determine a denial is appropriate based on noncooperation. However, once the meeting has taken place the note would be of no value and may be destroyed.
- 3. Records which were used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided three years have passed since the last state expenditure report for that period has been submitted to the HHS. These records must be retained longer when there are unresolved audits or court cases.

Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

We are currently reviewing all the child support audits to determine their status. If you have any questions on record retention regarding a child support audit that is not listed on the attachments, please contact your child support operations analyst at (916) 322-6384.

B. Food Stamp Records

There are two separate retention requirements for Food Stamp Program records. First, all issuance and program records are to be retained for a period of three years from the month of origin. Second, all fiscal and accountable documents are to be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. This means that records such as, but not limited to Authorizations to Participate (ATP), cashier's daily reports, Notices of Change, Form FNS-250s (Food Coupon Accountability Report), HIR cards, and tally sheets shall be retained for three years. However, any documents or records which are involved in any billing or claim shall be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. For example, FNS-250s which do not result in a billing against the state agency shall be retained three years from the month of origin. But, FNS-250s which result in a billing must be retained for three years from the date that obligations for or against the federal government have been liquidated. Also, any records or documents which are involved in a fiscal audit or investigation must be retained for three years from the date the audit or investigation is closed. To illustrate this point further, if an FNS-250 which originated prior to April 1986 had fiscal liabilities which were not settled until July 1988, that FNS-250 cannot be destroyed until August 1991. But, on the other hand, if the same FNS-250 had no fiscal involvement, it could be destroyed as of May 1989. Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

- 1. Food Stamp records that are a part of an assistance case record must be retained in accordance with MPP Chapter 23-350. (See Part A of this letter.)
- 2. Records and their supporting documents for which there is an open federal or state audit must be retained. This includes those federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports and the unresolved audits listed on Attachments I, II, and IV.
- 3. Records involved in pending criminal or civil litigation or court orders may require extended retention periods. In addition to cases in which the county is involved, Attachment V lists court cases involving DSS which require extended retention of certain records.

The provisions of this letter are for the fiscal purposes of DSS and do not authorize the destruction of those records which have a longer retention period required by other laws/regulations, court cases, or unresolved audits.

Again we would appreciate any comments or questions regarding records retention by submitting them to Arlene Heglund, Records Management, 744 P Street, M.S. 7-179, Sacramento, CA 95814, or by calling (916) 324-9035.

ROBERT GARCIA

Deputy Director

Administration Division

Attachments

cc: CWDA

This letter supersedes All-County Letter No. 88-103.

FEDERAL AUDITS PENDING RELEASE OF FINAL AUDIT REPORTS

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/89

Page 1 of 1

ID Number	Description	Audit/Review Period	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Records Required to Be Retained
CA-IV-E AAP for FFY 85 and FFY 86	Federal Adoption Assistance Program Title IV-E Review	10/84 - 9/86	þ	Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Santa Clara	В
CA-IV-A* EAP for FFY 86 & 87; Part of FFY 88	Review of California's Emergency Assist Program Costs	10/85 - 6/88 ance	a	15 Counties (1)	C .
CA-89-IR*	OCSE Review of Interest/Invest- ment Income Earned on Child Support Collecti	,	b	13 Counties (2)	В
27665-1-SF*	OIG Review of California's FSP Admin. Costs	10/87 - 9/88	b	San Francisco	В

⁽¹⁾ See Attachment IA.

⁽²⁾ See Attachment IIC.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

a Notice of audit received by SDSS.

b Audit Report writing in process.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

AUDIT: CA-IV-A, EAP For FFY 86 & 87 and Part of FFY 88.

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE - TITLE IV-A REVIEW FOR THE PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 1, 1985 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1988.

Alameda
Contra Costa
Kern
Los Angeles
Orange
Riverside
Sacramento
San Bernardino
San Diego
San Francisco
San Joaquin
San Mateo
Santa Clara
Tulare
Ventura

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/89

Page 1 of 5

ID Number		Audit/Review Period (1)	Status*	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
20272	WIN	10/1/78 - 3/31/81	f	Alameda Contra Costa Los Angeles Sacramento San Bernardino San Francisco	\$ 581,382	A
62641	Foster Care Payments to Profit- Making Child Care Institution	6/86	е	Alameda Los Angeles	\$ 1,018,966	В
50260-0	9 Refugee Resettlemer Program	4/1/81 - nt 9/30/82	f	San Francisco Los Angeles San Diego Sacramento Orange Alameda Santa Clara	\$33,753,588	В
62612-0		10/1/82 - nt 12/31/84	đ	Los Angeles	\$ 3,105,483	В
62614-0		10/1/82 - nt 12/31/84	đ	Orange	\$ 717,938	В

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

A Case records, payment records, and audit-related materials.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

d Administrative appeal submitted.

e Administrative appeal decision received.

f Judicial appeal submitted.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of:

01/01/89

Page 2 of 5

ID Number		Audit/Review Period (1)	Status*	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
CA-IV-E for FFY 84	Federal Foster Care Program Title IV-E Review	10/83 - 9/84	đ	Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Santa Clara	\$ 7,285,416	В
CA-IV-E for FFY 85 & FFY 86*	Foster Care	10/84 - 9/86	b	36 Counties (3)	\$10,467,274	В
(Rite of	Federal Foster Care * Program Title IV-E Payments for Childre: Placed in "Rite of Passage"	,	e .	Alameda Contra Costa Humboldt Marin Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego Santa Clara	\$ 451,325	В .

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

⁽³⁾ See Attachment IIA.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records and audit-related materials.

b Audit report writing in process.

d Administrative appeal submitted.

e Administrative appeal decision received.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/89Page 3 of 5

ID Number		Audit/Review Period (1)	Status*	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
10273	Food Stamp Certifica- tion Costs	10/1/71 - 6/30/72	f	34 Counties(4)	\$4,290,534	С
CA-82-DP	Costs Claimed for Automated Data Processing Services Under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act	3/1/79 - 6/30/82	i	Los Angeles	\$7,664,448	С
ACN* 90258-09	DHHS/OCSE Audit of California's Child Suppor Enforcement Program		g	Fresno	\$ 34,904	С

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

⁽⁴⁾ See Attachment IIB.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

f Judicial appeal submitted.

g Researching further.

i Recoupment of county share of disallowance in process.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of:

01/01/89

Page 4 of 5

ID Number		Audit/Review Period (1)	Status*	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
10252-09	Report on Audit of California's Distribution of Child Support Collections Made Under Title IV-D o the Social Security Act	f	g	All 58 Counties	\$4,395,107	D
	<pre>,*FSA-OCSE Review of Interest/ Investment Income Earne on Child Support Collection</pre>	10/81 - 6/88 d	d .	13 Counties (5)	\$6,273,424	D

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

⁽⁵⁾ See Attachment IIC.

D Child support claims, fiscal records and audit-related materials.

d Administrative appeal submitted.

g Researching further.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

Food Stamp Program USDA/FNS

Status as of: 01/01/89Page 5 of 5

ID Number	Audit/Review Description Period (1) St		Status*	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained	
2714- 358-SF	Food Stamp Audit Eligibility	4/75 - 6/75	h	San Francisco	\$ 806,800.0	00 E	
*	Administra- tive Cost Review of the Calif- ornia State Department of Social Services	10/86- 3/87	С	Alameda		С	
CA-86-* PR/PM	Child Support Enforcement Program Review	10/85- 9/86	С	Lake Los Angeles San Diego Santa Clara Stanislaus Ventura		А	

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

A Case records, payment records and audit-related materials.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

E Retain Food Stamp fiscal records.

c Negotiating/completing acceptable corrective action to resolve program recommendations.

h SDSS and FNS continue to discuss a resolution of this matter.

New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

CA-IV-E for FFY 85 & 86

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL FOSTER CARE PROGRAM TITLE IV-E REVIEW FOR FFY 85 AND FFY 86

Alameda Butte Contra Costa El Dorado Fresno Humboldt Imperial Kern Kings Los Angeles Madera Marin Mendocino Monterey Orange Placer Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara Siskiyou Solano Sonoma Stanislaus Sutter Tehama Tulare Ventura

Yolo Yuba

AUDIT REPORT #10273 (10/1/71 - 6/30/72)

FSP Certification Costs

County	Federal Funds Questioned
Alameda Calaveras	\$ 224,577 265
Contra Costa	105,124
Del Norte	3,853
Fresno	81,951
Humboldt	23,999
Imperial	14,328
Lassen	2,163
Los Angeles	2,762,983
Madera	8,308
Marin	29,446
Modoc	394
Mono	139
Monterey	36,784
Nevada	6,574
Orange	100,158
Placer	25,544
Riverside	91,787
Sacramento	186,752
San Benito	4,660
San Francisco	175,369
San Luis Obispo	30,511
San Mateo	37,359
Santa Barbara	61,277
Santa Clara	160,168
Shasta	14,988
Siskiyou	6,410
Solano	22,449
Sonoma	24,361
Stanislaus	21,806
Tehama	2,228
Trinity Yolo	1,258 15,123
Yuba	7,438
I UDA	1,430
TOTAL	\$4,290,534

CA-88-IR/CA-89-IR

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FSA-OCSE REVIEW OF INTEREST/INVESTMENT INCOME EARNED ON CHILD SUPPORT COLLECTION/10-81 THROUGH 9-88

County	Feder	al Fund	ls Quest	tioned
Alameda	\$ 607,341	+ 24,	732 = 5	\$ 632,073
Sacramento	194,841	+ 10,	841 =	205,682
San Francisco	641,346	+ 14,	777 =	656,123
Santa Clara	1,224,240	+ 56,	080 =	1,280,320
Orange	271,718	+ 16,	900 =	288,618
San Diego	1,278,640	+ 132,	062 =	1,410,702
Los Angeles	1,722,767	+ 77,	139 =	1,799,906
Contra Costa	191,781	+ 13,	509 =	205,290
	[Final	Audit	Reports	s Pending]
Riverside	. #	tt	rt .	tt
San Bernardino	11	11	11	11
Fresno	11	**	н	11
Kern	tf	ff	ft	ii.
Ventura		11	11	11
TOTAL			ç.	\$6,478,714*
(12-31-88)				

^{*} This amount is the total federal funds in question for the eight counties with final audit reports received as of 12-31-88. This total will change when the final audit reports are received for the remaining five counties which will be rolled into this same audit appeal.

Status as of: 01/01/89Page 1 of 4

Pecords Record

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)		Record Destruction Date**
PUBLIC	ASSISTANCE RECO	RDS:					
70282	BHI - Eligibility	7/73 - 3/76	HEW (HHS)	San Bernardino	\$ 68,274	A	9/1/89
80259	BHI - Eligibility	4/1/75 - 7/31/76	HEW (HHS)	Santa Barbara	\$ 77,911	A	9/1/89
70281 (60281)	BHI - Eligibility	4/1/75 - 7/31/76	HEW (HHS)	Ventura	\$ 77,053	L A	9/1/89
10262	Public Assistance Adm. Costs (Resolution of SCO Audit)	7/1/75 - 12/3/78	HHS	Los Angeles San Diego Santa Clara Fresno San Bernardino	\$2,278,14	2 в	12/1/90
60265*	Cuban Refugee Assistance Costs	7/70 - 6/74		Los Angeles	\$1,850,58	8 В	6/1/91

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

A Case records, assistance claims, and audit-related materials.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Status as of: 01/01/89

Page 2 of 4

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected Amo	Exc	ception (2)	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
FOOD ST	AMP RECORDS:							
2744- 104	Food Stamp Investigation	10/19/77	USDA/OIG	Madera	\$	37,607	Е	6/1/91
2714- 260-SF*	Food Stamp Audit Cash and Coupons	11/72 - 3/74	USDA/OIG	Los Angeles	\$	93,451	E	6/1/91
2714- 53-SF*	Food Stamp Audit Issuance Losse	7/70 - 4/71 es	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	2,676	E	6/1/91
2744- 61-SF*	Food Stamp Investigation	1/23/76	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	5,344	F	6/1/91
2747- 8-SF*	Food Stamp Investigation	8/30/76	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	1,265	E	6/1/91
2749- 19-SF*	Food Stamp Investigation	12/24/74	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	1,923	Е	6/1/91
2714- 59-SF*	Food Stamp Unreconciled Records	8/17/81	USDA/OIG	Santa Clara	\$	52,768	Е	6/1/91

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

E Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250s.

Retain Food Stamp Fiscal Records.

New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Status as of: 01/01/89

Page 3 of 4

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected Amo	Exc	ception (2)	Rec	ords uired to Retained	Record Destruction Date**
FOOD ST	AMP RECORDS (Co	nt'd):							
2744- 98-SF*	Food Stamp Investigation	7/22/76	USDA/OIG	Santa Clara	\$	999.	. 95	F	6/1/91
2744- 103-SF*	Food Stamp Investigation	6/23/77	USDA/OIG	Santa Clara	\$	1,394	.00	E	6/1/91
2799- 13	Reconcil- iation of Issuance Shortages	7/1/71 - 8/31/78	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	74,030	.43	E	6/1/91
50267*	Food Stamp Program Costs Included in Cost Allo- cation Plan Disallowed by HHS	4/1/69 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Bernardino	\$	414,455		С	1/1/91
50268*	11	3/1/69 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Diego	\$	566,178		С	1/1/91
50271*	Ħ	7/1/68 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Joaquin	\$	237,322		С	1/1/91
20144*	п	7/1/67 - 12/31/70	USDA/OIG	38 Counties(3)	\$3	,279,707		С	1/1/91
50250*	и	1/1/71 - 3/31/74	USDA/OIG	San Mateo	\$	52,400		С	1/1/91

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

⁽³⁾ See Attachment IIIA.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

E Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250s.

F Retain Food Stamp Fiscal Records.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Status as of: 01/01/89Page 4 of 4

ID		Audit/ Review	Audit	State/County Agencies		ception	Records Required to	Record Destruction
Number	Description	Period(1)	Agency	Affected A	Amoun	(2)	<u>Be Retained</u>	Date**
FOOD ST	'AMP RECORDS (C	ont'd):						
50266*	H	1/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	Los Angeles	\$:	1,773,081	С	1/1/91
50262*	11	7/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	Kern	\$	179,484	С	1/1/91
50263*	н	7/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	Tulare	\$	137,556	С	1/1/91
50264*	n	1/1/71 - 9/30/71	USDA/OIG	31 Counties	(4) \$	1,428,838	С	1/1/91
60274* (50274)	**	1/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	o \$	216,900	С	1/1/91

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

⁽⁴⁾ See Attachment IIIB.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

^{*} New to this attachment since ACL 88-103.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

AUDIT REPORT #20144 (7/1/67 - 12/31/70) Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

County	Federal Funds Questioned
Alameda	\$ 272,721
Amador	776
Butte	33,294
Calaveras	1,059
Contra Costa	157,837
El Dorado	16,189
Fresno	139,105
Glenn	1,368
Humboldt	925
Kern	164,468
Kings	22,131
Lake	7,946
Los Angeles	995,435
Madera	28,212
Marin	17,941
Merced	23,693
Monterey	42,278
Napa	8,192
Orange	89,040
Plumas	2,784
Riverside	13,929
Sacramento	180,955
San Benito	3,182
San Bernardino	138,978
San Francisco	105,824
San Joaquin	106,506
San Luis Obispo	13,630
San Mateo	38,867
Santa Barbara	38,450
Santa Clara	291,439
Santa Cruz	49,413
Sonoma	43,889
Stanislaus	86,769
Tehama	5,529
Tulare	48,941
Tuolumne	8,644
Ventura	66,209
Yolo	13,159
TOTAL	\$3,279,707

AUDIT REPORT #50264 (1/1/71 - 9/30/71) Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

County	eral esti	Funds oned
Alameda Amador Butte Calaveras Contra Costa El Dorado	\$ 14 1 75 3	,351 951 ,950 ,814 ,271 ,406
Fresno Glenn Humboldt Kings	1	,238 ,622 776 ,437
Lake Madera Marin	8 17	,216 ,264 ,855
Merced Monterey Napa	45 37	,688 ,248 ,538
Orange Placer Plumas	2	,182 777 ,515
Sacramento San Benito San Luis Obispo	4 14	,891 ,975 ,202
Santa Barbara Santa Clara Santa Cruz Siskiyou	245	,001 ,885 ,774 824
Sonoma Stanislaus Tehama	2	71 ,320 ,269 ,410
Tuolumne Ventura TOTAL	\$,117

Unresolved Audits

The counties on the following lists have unresolved SCO audits. All records pertaining to these audit periods should be retained by the counties until final resolution has been made pertinent to all protested/appealed audit exceptions. The audits are listed below in alphabetic order by county. For those counties having more than one unresolved audit, there will be an entry for each audit.

Audits Completed*

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
El Dorado	7/82 - 6/86	4/22/88	\$ 69,096
Lassen	7/82 - 6/86	6/10/88	129,098
Orange	7/83 - 6/85	7/24/87	8,047,314
Santa Clara	7/83 - 6/86	4/29/88	326,205
Solano	7/82 - 6/86	4/29/88	575,461
Yolo	7/84 - 6/87	5/27/88	138,064

^{*}The final audit report has been issued; but at the time this list was prepared, the protest period was still in process.

^{**}New since ACL 88-103.

Protested Audits

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Butte	7/82 - 6/86	8/21/87	\$ 1,651,586
Contra Costa	7/83 - 6/85	11/27/87	3,783,117
Del Norte	7/81 - 6/86	12/4/87	36,014
Fresno	7/82 - 6/85	7/31/87	5,617,720
Glenn	7/81 - 6/86	6/26/87	85,474
Humboldt	7/81 - 6/85	6/26/87	81,984
Inyo	7/82 - 6/86	2/11/88	91,202
Kern	7/82 - 6/85	1/30/87	2,527,181
Los Angeles Administrativ	7/82 - 6/84 re	11/21/86	48,582,432
Marin	7/82 - 6/85	6/12/87	259,228
Monterey	7/83 - 6/85	9/1/87	1,285,976
Orange	7/81 - 6/83	9/19/85	607,274
Placer	7/82 - 6/86	4/1/88	216,737
Riverside	7/82 - 6/85	5/15/87	1,972,453
San Bernardino	7/83 - 6/85	6/19/87	392,282
San Diego	7/82 - 6/85	6/26/87	3,644,106
San Francisco	1/77 - 6/79	1/30/81	9,745,728
San Francisco	7/79 - 6/81	2/25/83	5,656,263

^{**}New since ACL 88-103.

Protested Audits (Continued)

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
San Francisco	7/81 - 6/84	8/22/86	19,134,593
San Mateo	7/83 - 6/86	11/27/87	1,021,433
Santa Clara	7/81 - 6/83	12/20/85	947,129
Shasta	7/80 - 6/85	6/12/87	2,243,519
Sierra	7/81 - 6/86	2/26/88	17,956
Tehama	7/81 - 6/86	6/5/87	13,957
Tulare	7/81 - 6/85	3/3/87	508,513
Ventura	7/81 - 6/85	6/12/87	4,490,115

^{**}New since ACL 88-103.

Appealed Audits

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Alameda	1/77 - 6/78	12/7/79	\$ 3,015,877
Alameda	7/78 - 6/81	8/26/83	6,334,452
Alameda	7/81 - 6/84	1/31/86	2,987,847
Amador	7/80 - 6/84	12/20/85	41,931
Contra Costa	7/77 - 6/79	11/7/80	1,929,100
Contra Costa	7/79 - 6/80	1/22/82	665,098
Contra Costa	7/80 - 6/83	10/9/84	2,324,721
Fresno	7/80 - 6/82	9/30/83	382,821
Humboldt	4/75 - 9/78	12/28/79	102,593
Imperial	7/80 - 6/84	10/4/85	225,046
Los Angeles	7/76 - 6/77	2/8/80	4,436,697
Los Angeles	7/77 - 6/80	6/25/82	21,817,942
Los Angeles	7/80 - 6/82	12/7/84	19,773,982
Los Angeles Adoptions	7/79 - 6/82	8/26/83	719,612
Los Angeles Adoptions	7/82 - 9/84	12/26/86	600,140
Los Angeles BHI	7/69 - 6/76	7/30/82	88,533
Los Angeles BHI	7/69 - 6/75	7/30/82	293,349
Mendocino	7/79 - 6/82	8/20/84	191,014
Modoc	7/80 - 6/84	4/4/86	66,474

^{**}New since ACL 88-103.

Appealed Audits

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Orange	7/79 - 6/81	2/18/83	\$ 1,555,045
Placer	7/79 - 6/82	3/2/84	123,213
Riverside	7/80 - 6/82	7/11/84	230,604
Sacramento	7/79 - 6/81	6/10/83	437,037
Sacramento	7/81 - 6/83	3/8/85	1,055,916
San Benito	7/78 - 6/80	12/18/81	124,064
San Mateo	7/76 - 6/78	6/15/79	293,237
Santa Clara	4/79 - 6/81	7/23/82	2,634,213
Santa Cruz	7/80 - 6/83	11/7/84	68,358
Shasta	10/77 - 6/80	11/20/81	318,863
Tuolumne	1/74 - 12/75	8/13/76	2,555
Tuolumne	1/76 - 6/78	5/9/80	57,507
Ventura	7/79 - 6/81	10/22/82	2,112,795

^{**}New since ACL 88-103.

Audits in Abeyance (No Appealed Issues)+

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of <u>Audit Report</u>	Amount of Report
Calaveras	7/80 - 6/85	10/17/86	\$ 23,029
Merced	7/81 - 6/85	2/6/87	817,118
Plumas	7/81 - 6/85	2/6/87	60,869
San Diego	7/78 - 6/80	9/18/81	1,032,224
Sonoma	7/82 - 6/85	11/7/86	1,356,108

⁺ Decision letters have been issued on these audits and none of the decisions have been appealed. The only outstanding issues are those which are being held in abeyance.

^{**} New since ACL 88-103.

In Application

The audits are finalized; actions are now being taken to adjust claims so that there will be a proper state, county, and federal share of costs claimed and to collect or pay any amounts due as a result of the audit.

County	Audit Period
Mariposa	7/80 - 6/84
Mendocino	7/82 - 6/85
Mono	7/81 - 6/85
San Diego	7/75 - 6/77
San Joaquin	7/81 - 6/83
San Joaquin**	7/83 - 6/87
Santa Cruz**	7/83 - 6/86
Siskiyou	7/82 - 6/85
Solano	10/78 - 6/82
Sutter	7/82 - 6/86
Tuolumne	7/82 - 6/86
Yolo	7/79 - 6/84
Yuba	7/80 - 6/84

^{**}New since ACL-88-103.

Applied

County	Audit Period	Record Destruction Date***
Alpine	7/80 - 6/84	5/22/90
Colusa	7/78 - 6/83	9/25/90
Contra Costa	7/76 - 6/77	4/24/90
El Dorado	7/79 - 6/82	5/13/90
Glenn	7/75 - 3/78	4/27/90
Glenn	7/78 - 6/81	4/27/90
Humboldt	7/78 - 6/81	5/20/90
Kern	7/79 - 6/82	5/6/90
Kings	7/79 - 6/82	5/22/90
Lake	7/81 - 6/85	8/27/91
Lassen	1/77 - 6/82	4/30/90
Los Angeles Adoptions	7/76 - 6/79	7/1/90
Madera	1/78 - 6/80	4/27/90
Madera	7/80 - 6/85	8/27/91
Marin	10/78 - 6/82	5/13/90
Mendocino	4/77 - 6/79	2/15/91
Merced	4/78 - 6/81	7/1/90

^{**}New since ACL-88-103.

^{***}These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Applied (Continued)

County	Audit Period	Record Destruction Date***
Monterey	4/78 - 6/80	4/29/90
Monterey	7/80 - 6/83	7/7/90
Napa	1/78 - 6/82	5/20/90
Napa	7/82 - 6/85	8/27/91
Nevada	10/76 - 6/80	5/20/90
Nevada	7/80 - 6/84	2/15/91
Plumas	1/77 - 6/81	4/27/90
Riverside	7/77 - 6/79	5/22/90
Riverside	7/79 - 6/80	5/13/90
San Benito	7/80 - 6/84	2/15/91
San Bernardino	7/79 - 6/80	5/22/90
San Bernardino	7/80 - 6/83	7/1/90
San Diego	7/80 - 6/82	8/28/91
San Joaquin	7/78 - 6/81	5/11/90
San Luis Obispo	7/80 - 6/84	7/1/90
San Mateo	7/80 - 6/83	8/27/91
Santa Barbara	7/80 - 6/83	4/27/90
Solano*	10/78 - 6/82	7/27/91
Sonoma	7/79 - 6/82	7/3/90

^{**}New since ACL-88-103.

^{***}These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Applied (Continued)

		Record Destruction
County	Audit Period	Date***
Stanislaus	4/78 - 6/80	5/21/89
Stanislaus	7/80 - 6/83	5/7/89
Sutter	10/75 - 9/78	5/20/90
Sutter	10/78 - 6/82	8/28/91
Tehama	7/77 - 6/81	5/20/90
Trinity	4/76 - 6/80	4/29/90
Trinity	7/80 - 6/85	5/20/90
Tulare	10/78 - 6/81	5/22/90
Tuolumne	7/78 - 6/82	5/22/90
Yolo	4/77 - 6/79	7/1/90

^{***}These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

COURT CASES

There are several court cases which require extended retention including the following:

CASE	ACL	ACIN	RECORDS COVERED	PERIOD COVERED
North Coast Coalition v. Woods (1)	80-64	I-35-81 I-49-80	AFDC	8/1/76 - 9/30/80
Welfare Recipients League v. Woods	84-15 82-15 81-58		AFDC	2/19/82 - to date
Wright v. Woods (1)	83-43 78-18		AFDC	7/18/76 - 12/31/80
Green v. Obledo (2)	84-13 83-47	I-38-82 I-88-81	AFDC	1/1/74 - 11/9/81
Farias v. Woods (2)	83-66 83-50 82-39	I-132-83 I-26-83	AFDC-FC	2/1/78 - the date on which they were transferred to AFDC-FC or became ineligible. The date of transfer may be later than 1/7/80 because Miller v. Youakim regulation were implemented on a flow basis.
Angus v. Woods (1)	83-62 80-73		AFDC	9/1/77 - 12/31/80
Wood v. Woods (1)	83-130 83-91	I-134-80 I-26-80	AFDC	1/1/80 - 9/30/81
<u>Vaessen</u> v. <u>Woods</u>	80-67	I-150-82	AFDC, RCA & ECA PROGRAMS	1/1/79 - to date
Williams v. Woods (2)	84-56 84-45 84-40	I-40-84	AFDC	11/12/78 - 5/31/84
Miller v. Woods and Community Services for the Disabled v. Woods (and payment to spouses - WRO)	84-58	I-37-84	IHSS	4/1/79 - 1/1/85

COURT CASES

CASE	_ACL_	ACIN	RECORDS COVERED	PERIOD COVERED
Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations v.	84-90	I-53-84	AFDC, RCA & ECA PROGRAMS	5/23/84 - to date
McMahon(2)	1			
Lowry v. Obledo(2)	85-53	I-146-81	AFDC	2/1/77 - 8/31/82
Shaw v. McMahon(3)	85-25 84-109		AFDC	10/1/84 - 4/30/85

- (1) All case records associated with the <u>North Coast Coalition</u> v. <u>Woods</u>, <u>Woods</u>, <u>Woods</u>, <u>Wright</u> v. <u>Woods</u>, or <u>Angus</u> v. <u>Woods</u> court case may now be destroyed except for those which:
 - (a) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits made pursuant to MPP Section 50-014. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
 - (b) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.
- (2) All case records associated with the <u>Green v. Obledo</u>, <u>Williams v. Woods</u>, <u>Lowry v. Obledo</u>, <u>Farias v. Woods</u>, and <u>Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations v. <u>McMahon</u> court cases may be destroyed except for those which:</u>
 - (a) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
 - (b) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.
- (3) Records related to the <u>Shaw v. McMahon</u> lawsuit need no longer be retained except in accordance with other requirements set forth in the ACL.